

The Redeeming Timelessness of Love v/s The Ravaging Transience of Time: A Psychoanalysis of Marquez's *Love in the Time of Cholera*

Mercy Thapa¹, Dr. Nisha Gupta²

¹Ph.D. Research Scholar, CSJMU, Kanpur (U.P.), India

²Professor, D.A-V. College, CSJMU, Kanpur (U.P.), India

Received: 16/Jul/2026

Revised: 09/Aug/2026

Accepted: 13/Aug/2026

Published: 17/Aug/2026

Copyright: International Journal of English Literature, Linguistics, and Social Sciences: A Multidisciplinary Journal

This is an open-access article under the CC BY license

(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Abstract

Using psychoanalytic theory as an analytical framework, this paper examines the relationship between the apparent timeless nature of love and the unavoidable passing of time in Gabriel Garcia Marquez's *Love in the Time of Cholera*. The protagonists of the book, Fermina Daza and Florentino Ariza, represent opposing views on time and love, portraying it as a haven from the perils of death as well as an enduring obsession. The study explores how memory, fancy, and desire function as defences against the temporality and degradation inherent in human life through Marquez's nuanced depiction of Florentino's lifelong obsession and idealization of Fermina. The study explores how Florentino's love functions as a psychological construct that is impervious to time, even as his body and circumstances age, drawing on Freudian ideas of fixation and desire fulfilment. Additionally, the river excursion at the end of the novel is interpreted in this article as a metaphor for the cyclical conflict between life's inevitable path towards death and love's wish for eternity. In the end, Marquez's writing provides light on the paradox of love: although it cannot physically stop time, it gives people a sense of psychological transcendence that enables them to exist with the appearance of timelessness despite the transient essence of life.

Keywords: timelessness of love, transience of time, psychoanalysis, obsessive love, memory, fantasy, mortality, eternal love, symbolism, psychological transcendence, love and death, river metaphor.

I. INTRODUCTION

A Nobel laureate and well-known author in Latin American literature, Gabriel García Márquez is renowned for his distinct writing style, which blends magical realism¹ with in-depth examinations of human emotions. One of his best-known books, *Love in the Time of Cholera*, explores love in great detail via the lives of Florentino Ariza and Fermina Daza, whose romance spans many decades. The work, which is set in a Caribbean village around the turn of the 20th century, is firmly anchored in Márquez's distinctive writing style, which combines vivid realism with moving insights into the human psyche.

Fundamentally, *Love in the Time of Cholera* struggles with a potent paradox: the conflict between love's desire for immortality and time's inevitable deterioration.

At the core of Márquez's story is this paradox between love and time, which shows love to be both a consuming force and a constant haven. Driven by memory, desire, and fantasy², Florentino's intense commitment to Fermina is a prime example of the love that aspires to transcendence. As Márquez writes, "Love is always new. Regardless of whether we love once, twice, or a dozen times in our life, we always face a brand-new situation" (*Love in the Time of Cholera*

278). From a psychoanalytic perspective, Márquez's depiction of love can be interpreted as both self-destructive and redemptive, as a way to stave off death while maintaining a sense of temporal reality.

This paper makes the case that Márquez's depiction of Florentino and Fermina's love shows how the idea of love's eternality only lives in the mind, where it is continually in danger from the passing of time and the limitations of mortality. Freyre discusses how Florentino's obsessive longing for Fermina symbolizes the human desire to defy death through idealized love ("Cholera, Love, and Time: A Psychoanalytic Perspective on Marquez" 89). Similarly, Stern examines how Márquez employs metaphors of time—such as the decaying body of a ship—as a reminder that love, like life, is subject to the ravages of time ("The Illusion of Eternal Love" 51).

In *Love in the Time of Cholera*, Márquez explores the intricate and varied nature of love through the persistent conflict between time and love. Love can become a haven from the trials of aging and time, as evidenced in Florentino's passionate, eternal devotion to Fermina, which is based more on idealization⁵ and recollection than on shared experience. However, this intense love also ties Florentino to a mental image of Fermina, raising the possibility that the idea of love as timeless is a psychological construct meant to thwart death's inevitable course.

The notion of love as both enduring and fragile is further emphasized through Márquez's use of magical realism. Cohen highlights the temporal structures in the novel, arguing that they symbolize the cyclical nature of love and memory. The story's Caribbean setting, rife with vibrant descriptions and a backdrop of natural decay, reinforces this duality, as noted by Harris.

Ultimately, Márquez's narrative transcends mere romantic storytelling. By intertwining magical realism with deep psychological exploration, he presents a portrait of love as both transcendent and constrained, capable of defying yet irrevocably tied to time. As Florentino proclaims, "The only regret I will have in dying is if it is not for love" (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 50). Such declarations epitomize the duality of love: a yearning for immortality grounded in the human experience of impermanence.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Literature Review Freyre: Florentino's longing symbolizes humanity's desire to defy death through idealized love.

Stern: Time metaphors (e.g., decaying ships) highlight love's fragility.

Cohen: Temporal structures symbolize cyclical love and memory.

Harris: Florentino's fixation acts as a psychological anchor against aging.

Klein: Memory and fantasy serve as defence mechanisms against mortality. This scholarship situates Márquez's novel within psychoanalytic discourse, emphasizing the tension between eros (life drive) and thanatos (death drive).

III. METHODOLOGY

This study employs a psychoanalytic lens to interpret Márquez's narrative. Freudian concepts: fixation, repetition compulsion, wish fulfilment, eros vs. thanatos. Jungian archetypes: Florentino as the "eternal lover," Fermina as pragmatic counterpart. Textual analysis: Close reading of Márquez's metaphors (river, memory, decay). Comparative framework: Engagement with secondary critics to contextualize findings.

IV. FINDINGS AND OUTCOMES

Florentino's Idealization: His concentration and compulsive repetition are reflected in his obsessive purity ("I have remained pure for you").

Memory & Fantasy: Selective memory protects against death while enhancing the timeless quality of love.

Time & Mortality: Love's susceptibility to deterioration is represented by Fermina's aged body.

River Journey: A metaphor for life's relentless flow that contrasts the inevitable passage of time with Florentino's "forever and ever."

Character Archetypes: Fermina stands for practical ambivalence, whereas Florentino represents the eternal lover archetype.

THE IDEALIZATION OF LOVE

Florentino Ariza's eternal passion for Fermina Daza is portrayed by Gabriel García Márquez in *Love in the Time of Cholera* as an almost mystical force that propels him to lead an idealized and compulsive life. His devotion to Fermina becomes the defining characteristic of Florentino's entire existence; his steadfast devotion evolves from a young man's infatuation into a lifelong endeavour, seemingly unaffected by time or circumstances. His claim that "I have remained pure for you" (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 302) emphasizes the depth of his idealization, portraying his love as unadulterated and pure—a commitment that transcends the boundaries of typical emotional connection. For Florentino, love becomes a timeless, precious thing that must be protected at all costs, even if it means waiting for decades.

This self-imposed "purity" reinforces Florentino's romanticization of Fermina, whom he constructs in his mind as an idealized figure, divorced from the shifting realities of life. As Stern argues, Florentino's obsessive devotion transforms Fermina into a psychological artifact⁴, representing a timeless and unattainable ideal ("Eternal Love or Temporal Decay? Analysing the Metaphors of Time in Marquez's *Love in the Time of Cholera*" 53). Through this lens, his unwavering love becomes a sanctuary against the encroachments of reality and time.

Psychoanalytically speaking, Florentino's bond with Fermina aligns with Sigmund Freud's concept of fixation⁵, where an individual's emotional growth becomes "stuck" on an unmet need or unresolved event. Freud's theory of repetition compulsion⁶—the "perpetual return" to unfulfilled desires—provides a framework for understanding Florentino's lifelong yearning (*Beyond the Pleasure Principle* 24–26). For Florentino, Fermina represents an eternal object of desire, an ideal that defies the impermanence of life. As Harris notes, his fixation on Fermina acts as a psychological anchor, allowing him to construct an internal reality where his devotion remains untarnished by the passage of time or the realities of aging (*Gabriel García Márquez: A Psychoanalytic Interpretation of His Novels* 48–50).

Florentino's idealization of Fermina enables him to preserve her in a flawless state within his imagination, rendering her impervious to the effects of aging,

personality changes, or life's unpredictability. Klein explores this theme, asserting that Florentino's obsessive love functions as a defence mechanism⁷, shielding him from the inevitability of loss and mortality ("The Role of Memory and Fantasy in Marquez's Fiction" 154). This psychological process echoes Jungian archetypes⁸, where the beloved becomes an idealized symbol of transcendence.

Furthermore, Florentino's devotion reflects broader themes in Latin American literature of longing and the defiance of temporal decay. Cohen highlights Márquez's use of time as a dual force—both a threat to and a marker of love's endurance—arguing that Florentino's prolonged yearning serves as a testament to the power of memory and imagination in overcoming temporal limitations ("The Poetics of Time: Temporal Structures in *Love in the Time of Cholera*" 117). Márquez's narrative demonstrates how love, when removed from shared experience and confined to idealization, can transform into a psychological construct, existing more in the realm of fantasy than reality.

As Márquez writes, "He was still too young to know that the heart's memory eliminates the bad and magnifies the good, and that thanks to this artifice we manage to endure the burden of the past" (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 82). Florentino's persistence, fuelled by memory and fantasy, exemplifies this selective process, allowing him to sustain his belief in the timeless nature of love. However, as Freyre notes, this fixation risks becoming self-destructive, as it binds Florentino to a static mental image, incapable of adapting to the flux of reality ("Cholera, Love, and Time: A Psychoanalytic Perspective on Marquez" 90).

Ultimately, Florentino's eternal passion for Fermina, while portrayed as noble and redemptive, also illustrates the human tendency to resist change and mortality through psychological constructs. Márquez uses Florentino's unwavering devotion to explore the interplay between love, memory, and time—a central theme in his oeuvre. As Harris aptly concludes, "Márquez's characters are caught between the timeless ideals of love and the inexorable realities of human frailty, a tension that defines his most enduring works" (*Gabriel García Márquez: A Psychoanalytic Interpretation of His Novels* 78).

THE ROLE OF MEMORY AND FANTASY

Florentino Ariza uses fiction and memory as strong instruments to maintain his romanticized love for Fermina Daza over many years. His recollections of her gradually become less grounded in truth and more the result of his romanticized imagination⁹, developing into a private story that only he can create. When he explains how "He was always convinced that his fervour alone could shake the heavens and restore the years the locusts had eaten" (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 122), Márquez emphasizes this process. As if his passion could heal the losses caused by years of separation and lost possibilities, Florentino's belief in the ability of his love to transcend and even reverse the effects of time is reflected in this conviction. His idealization of love and its power to withstand temporal decay allows him to hold onto an idealistic conception of love that is impervious to the passage of time. This psychological preservation¹⁰ of his emotions is central to Florentino's ongoing commitment to Fermina, regardless of the passing years.

Florentino's use of memory and fantasy as a defence against the fleeting nature of human existence is explained by Freud's concept of "wish fulfilment"¹¹. According to Freud, wish fulfilment is the unconscious mind's tendency to create stories or pictures that satiate unmet desires. Florentino's recollections of Fermina are not merely nostalgic but reflect his attempt to hold onto a romantic ideal that he was unable to fully experience in the real world. His recollections are idealized and detached from the complexities of their original relationship. As Freyre notes, Florentino's romanticization of Fermina allows him to "preserve an image of her that exists beyond time, beyond the fluctuations of life and reality" ("Love and Mortality in Márquez" 96). Through this process, he is able to keep Fermina near to him—not as she is in real life, but as a perfect image he has created in his imagination, one that will always be youthful, open, and ideal.

As the actual Fermina lives, ages, and develops independently from his imagination, Florentino maintains a love that is impervious to change and decay by means of this mental idealization. His fantasies enable him to live in a world he has constructed for himself, where his love is unaffected by time and retains its purity and intensity despite the

ravages of aging and death. This aligns with Harris's analysis, which asserts that "Florentino's fixation on Fermina represents an attempt to create an emotional world where love is immune to the forces of time" (*Gabriel García Márquez: A Psychoanalytic Interpretation of His Novels* 120). The novel's larger exploration of love as a durable force and a self-imposed illusion¹² is therefore furthered by Márquez's depiction of Florentino's commitment to memory and fiction.

Márquez demonstrates, through Florentino's idealized visions, how memory can serve as both a means of escape and a method of confronting the realities of time. This creates an emotional haven where love may endure despite the inevitable emotional and physical changes that life brings about. The interplay of memory and fantasy enables Florentino to preserve the fantasy of eternal love while simultaneously shielding himself from the emotional impacts of time's passing.

Florentino's psychological motivations and the function of memory in preventing time from advancing are crucial to understanding the deeper themes of *Love in the Time of Cholera*. These ideas serve as the foundation for comprehending Márquez's more general commentary on the nuanced, often illusory nature of love. As Cohen argues, "Márquez's exploration of memory highlights how human beings cling to idealized versions of love to confront the harshness of time" ("Memory and Illusion in Márquez's Love Stories" 125). This psychological defence mechanism reveals the delicate balance between love's idealization and the passage of time, which is central to the novel's exploration of human emotions.

TIME AND MORTALITY AS ANTAGONISTS TO LOVE

Time and death appear as tenacious enemies in *Love in the Time of Cholera*, persistently undermining Florentino and Fermina's beliefs in unending love. Marquez creates a story where love tries to last for decades, but the unavoidable ravages of time on the human body and life always disrupt this ideal. With detailed depictions of their bodies and lives in disrepair, Marquez emphasizes the toll of time, which both Florentino and Fermina must deal with as they age. Fermina is ultimately described by Marquez as "a skeletal old woman, ravenously exhausted by time"

(*Love in the Time of Cholera* 312), highlighting how susceptible humans are to the effects of time. This eerie picture of Fermina serves as a constant reminder to readers that time is an uncontrollable force and that no matter how strong or pure love is, the physical body is still prone to decay.

According to psychoanalysis, Florentino's longing for enduring love is an expression of eros¹³, Freud's life force that aims to create, preserve, and endure. However, thanatos¹⁴, the death drive, which represents the fear of dying and the inevitable breakdown of the self, hangs over both Florentino and Fermina. Freud conceptualized eros as a force that seeks to preserve and enhance life, while thanatos represents the destructive drive that leads toward dissolution and death. Florentino's obsessive devotion to Fermina symbolizes an attempt to resist thanatos by creating a psychological defence against the overwhelming fear of mortality. Freud notes that eros provides the individual with a sense of purpose, focusing on relationships and creation, whereas thanatos is linked to anxiety and the subconscious desire to return to an inorganic state (*Beyond the Pleasure Principle* 47).

Florentino's devotion to Fermina gives him a purpose that symbolically defies thanatos and acts as a remedy for his fear of dying. His attachment to Fermina is less about their real relationship than about the idea of love itself as a bulwark against the inevitability of death. Florentino clings to Fermina's image, trying to immortalize her in his mind, which reflects his deep-seated resistance to mortality. As Freyre states, "Florentino's love is not just a romantic gesture; it is an attempt to create an enduring force, a love that defies the ravages of time and decay" ("Love and Mortality in Márquez" 96). By idealizing Fermina and maintaining an image of her in a perpetual, youthful state, Florentino seeks a form of immortality that shields him from the destructive force of time and aging.

Florentino's enduring love mirrors a psychological defiance of aging, as he views his love for Fermina as a means of fending off death. His conviction that his love might somehow reverse the ravages of time—his desire to keep Fermina "alive" in his heart—becomes a futile resistance to the natural deterioration of the body. This idea aligns with Cohen's argument that "Márquez uses Florentino's unrelenting devotion to

Fermina to portray love as a psychological defence mechanism against the fatalism that governs existence" ("Memory and Illusion in Márquez's Love Stories" 123). Florentino's obsession with Fermina becomes his attempt to preserve not only his emotional world but also to fend off the inevitable breakdown of his own self, as he subconsciously believes that eternal love could safeguard him from the ravages of time.

Thus, Márquez portrays Florentino's love as a flimsy barrier against time, one that provides psychological solace but remains ultimately powerless in the face of life's impermanence. As Weinstein observes, "Florentino's love may offer temporary refuge from the horrors of aging and mortality, but it is ultimately an illusion that cannot stave off the eventual decay of the body and spirit" ("Love, Time, and Memory in Márquez" 48). Florentino's idealized love for Fermina, while psychologically sustaining, cannot protect him from the inevitability of death. Márquez suggests that while love might provide a semblance of immortality, it cannot alter the inexorable passage of time.

THE SYMBOL OF RIVER JOURNEY

Florentino and Fermina's river voyage towards the book's conclusion is a powerful representation of the tenacity of love and the fleeting nature of life. They try to understand a sense of timelessness while traveling, but the fact that the journey takes place on a river—a constantly moving, changing body of water—reminds readers of time's inescapable passage. In this way, the river's flow becomes a metaphor for life's relentless forward motion, a force that carries everything along despite human ambitions. Florentino's declaration of "forever and ever" (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 348) represents his wish for a love that endures beyond death and time. However, the river's unrelenting flow contrasts with this wish, gently undermining his goal by signifying life's unavoidable passage toward its conclusion. Márquez illustrates the paradox of human love with this contrast: even though we may long for eternity, life goes on regardless of our personal preferences.

From a symbolic perspective, the river voyage might be seen as Márquez's critique of the human propensity to look for stability in a world that is constantly changing. As they float down the river, Florentino and

Fermina are momentarily protected from the passage of time, feeling as though they are in an immortal moment. However, Márquez's selection of the river as the location for the journey serves to emphasize the idea that, despite its capacity to give the appearance of immortality, love is still subject to the laws of time. Within the broader context of time's unavoidable flow, the river becomes a place where Florentino and Fermina feel the continuation of love and an enduring relationship. Márquez implies through this metaphorical journey that although love might provide solace from the fear of dying, time cannot be stopped in the end.

As a result, Márquez portrays the river voyage as a melancholy examination of the contradictory nature of love while it provides momentary relief from the knowledge of death, it ultimately is powerless to stop life's inevitable progression. The final scene of the book, in which Florentino and Fermina continue their journey without a defined objective, demonstrates how time will inevitably affect even seemingly eternal love. Márquez captures the basic paradox of the book by abandoning them on the river: although love offers psychological consistency and resistance to deterioration, it is also a part of life's fleeting flow. The beauty and limitations of love as a reaction to the unstoppable forces of time and mortality are captured in this novel, which highlights Márquez's meditation on human resilience and vulnerability.

PSYCHOANALYTIC INTERPRETATION OF MARQUEZ'S CHARACTERS

Gabriel García Márquez's characters in *Love in the Time of Cholera* are intricate psychological profiles that capture the complex, frequently opposing forces that exist within the human psyche. Through Fermina Daza and Florentino Ariza, Márquez examines two divergent perspectives on love—one grounded and pragmatic, the other idealistic and obsessive—each of which has unique psychological and archetypal components that provide a wealth of psychoanalytic material. Fermina's more balanced, ambivalent approach offers a contrast, founded in reality and influenced by her acceptance of life's complexities, while Florentino's persona is in line with Jungian archetypes of the "eternal lover," representing the age-old pursuit of an all-consuming, almost legendary love.

FLORENTINO AS AN ARCHETYPE OF ETERNAL LOVER

The Jungian archetype of the lover—a person who represents an idealized, passionate love that becomes his primary driving force—resonates with Florentino Ariza's obsessive devotion to Fermina Daza. Despite being separated by years and life circumstances, Florentino gives way to his feelings throughout his life, portraying himself as the eternal lover seeking a transcendent link¹⁵ with Fermina. As he gives his life to the pursuit of an idealized vision of Fermina, Márquez says, "He allowed himself to be swayed by his passion for Fermina Daza" (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 145). This statement encapsulates the powerful control this love holds over him. Because of his love, Florentino is able to perceive himself as a part of a big, mythological story, which gives him tenacity but also makes him oblivious to the realities of the world around him.

According to psychoanalysis, Florentino's obsession with Fermina can be seen as a type of self-deception in which he projects his own wants and needs onto her as a symbol, rather than as a living, evolving person. This projection aligns with Freud's concept of wish fulfilment, where individuals unconsciously create fantasies that satisfy their unmet desires and emotional needs (*The Interpretation of Dreams* 40). In Florentino's case, Fermina becomes an idealized figure, an object of his fantasy that is immune to the ravages of time and reality. His romantic obsession is not with the actual woman but with the idealized version of her that exists in his mind, serving as a symbol of eternal love and emotional refuge from the harshness of life.

According to Jungian philosophy, archetypes are universal symbols or images found in the collective unconscious¹⁶, shaping human behaviour and perception across cultures and time. In this framework, Florentino embodies the archetype of the "eternal lover", a figure who sacrifices the present reality in pursuit of an idealized, timeless form of love. As Jung explains, archetypes "represent fundamental human experiences" that influence our thoughts, emotions, and actions, even though they may be inaccessible to the conscious mind (*Archetypes and the Collective Unconscious* 51). Florentino's devotion to Fermina, as a symbol of unchanging love, mirrors the timeless, unattainable ideals that are inherent in the

archetype of the “eternal lover” — someone who, like Florentino, forgoes the reality of human relationships in favour of an ideal that can never be fully realized.

Florentino's obsession gives him a sense of purpose and helps him to emotionally survive the years of separation, but it also keeps him isolated in a fantasy. He is emotionally distanced from Fermina as a person and instead exists within an imagined world where his love for her is eternal and immune to the effects of time. As Stern argues, “Florentino's fantasy world, built on the idealization of Fermina, offers him a sense of fulfilment but simultaneously detaches him from the real, evolving nature of human relationships” (“The Illusion of Eternal Love” 53). His obsession with this idealized love makes it impossible for him to truly engage with Fermina in a real, reciprocal way, as he is more in love with the fantasy of love than with the actual person.

In the end, Florentino's conception of love shields him from the suffering and banality of life by allowing him to imagine a love that remains untouched by aging, time, or change. His idealism, while giving him the strength to endure decades of waiting in the hope that his love will one day be fulfilled, also makes him blind to the complexities of real relationships. As Freyre points out, “Florentino's idealized love renders him oblivious to the lived realities of relationships, where love must confront the vicissitudes of aging, conflict, and change” (“Love and Mortality in Márquez” 97). His view of love is static, and it prevents him from understanding that love, as it exists in real relationships, is inherently dynamic, evolving, and subject to time's inevitable impact.

Thus, while Florentino's idealism helps him survive emotionally and gives him a sense of purpose, it also keeps him separated from reality. He is incapable of acknowledging that the real fulfilment of love requires adaptability and mutual change, aspects of life that his fantasy denies. Márquez's portrayal of Florentino suggests that an unyielding idealization of love can offer temporary solace but ultimately isolates the individual from the transformative and reciprocal nature of true human connection.

FERMINA'S AMBIVALENCE AND PRAGMATISM

Fermina Daza treats life and love with a sense of practicality and ambivalence, in contrast to

Florentino's romanticized view of love. Fermina manages her feelings within the bounds of social norms and individual survival, in contrast to Florentino, who is still constrained by his idealized conception of love. She gradually comes to have a nuanced view of love, fusing romantic feelings with a realistic awareness of what is realistic and long-lasting. Fermina's ability to balance her feelings with the life she has chosen is demonstrated by Márquez's observation that “The truth is that she could not imagine life without him, and that is why she never stopped thinking about him” (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 224). Therefore, her love is based on a flexible and changing relationship with reality rather than on an unachievable ideal.

From a psychoanalytic perspective, Fermina's character arc symbolizes a transition from naive idealism to adult acceptance. Her pragmatic view of marriage as a social institution is reflected in her decision to marry Dr. Juvenal Urbino despite her original love for Florentino due to social pressures and expectations. Fermina agrees that love is influenced by outside forces, such as social conventions and individual obligations, in contrast to Florentino, who maintains that love is a timeless, unalterable energy. She experiences both fulfilment and disillusionment during her marriage, and as a result, her perspective on love changes, evolving from the straightforward passion she once shared with Florentino to a more complex understanding. Later in life, after she has balanced her romantic ideals with the practical reality of her life, this emotional ambiguity enables her to embrace Florentino's love.

Fermina's acceptance of Florentino's advanced age at the conclusion of *Love in the Time of Cholera* can be interpreted as a synthesis of her past beliefs and experiences. Throughout the novel, Fermina's perspective on love evolves, and her eventual reunion with Florentino reflects a maturation of her views. In the past, she had seen love as a youthful, passionate pursuit, but through her experiences, she learns that love does not have to be limited to the carefree ardour of youth. Instead, it can develop into a more profound connection based on friendship, shared memories, and mutual understanding. As Klein argues, “Fermina's final decision to join Florentino represents a transformation in her concept of love—one that reconciles idealism with reality, acknowledging both

its emotional intensity and its pragmatic, everyday nature" ("The Role of Memory and Fantasy in Marquez's Fiction" 156).

Fermina acknowledges the enduring, legendary nature of Florentino's love by getting back together with him, but she also admits that her feelings have changed with time. Márquez's portrayal of this reunion demonstrates his larger thematic point: that love, while intensely personal and unique, is still inevitably shaped by the realities of time and experience. As Stern observes, "Fermina's acceptance of Florentino's love, after decades of separation, signifies the passage from youthful passion to a mature recognition of love's complexity" ("The Illusion of Eternal Love" 52). The reunion is not the rekindling of a youthful passion, but the development of a deeper, more nuanced understanding of love that embraces both its ideal and its practical aspects.

This reunion also reflects a more mature perspective on love—one that accepts both its romantic and pragmatic sides. Márquez suggests that love, in its truest form, is not only about the fulfilment of idealized dreams or fantasies, but also about recognizing and adapting to the limitations that life imposes. This perspective aligns with Cohen's assertion that "Márquez challenges the notion of love as a purely transcendent experience, positioning it instead as an ongoing process of adaptation, compromise, and resilience" ("The Poetics of Time: Temporal Structures in *Love in the Time of Cholera*" 117). The love between Florentino and Fermina, in its final stages, is marked by a recognition that love, while enduring, requires constant adjustment to the realities of aging, change, and life's hardships.

In this sense, Márquez presents a complex psychological picture of love as both a real experience and an ideal. Through Florentino and Fermina's journey, he implies that true love is not found in the rejection of life's limitations or the pursuit of unattainable perfection. Rather, it is discovered in the ability to adapt and persevere despite the inevitable passage of time and the challenges that come with it. As Freyre states, "True love, as Márquez presents it, is not static but dynamic, evolving in response to the vicissitudes of life" ("Love and Mortality in Márquez" 99). The reunion between Fermina and Florentino embodies this idea, suggesting that the truest form of love is the one that acknowledges imperfection and

continues to grow and endure even as it changes over time.

THE ROLE OF SYMBOLISM IN REPRESENTING TIMELESSNESS AND TRANSIENCE

Gabriel Garcia Marquez skilfully employs symbolism in *Love in the Time of Cholera* to convey the conflict between the brutal truth of love's transience and its perceived everlasting nature. The metaphor of cholera, a disease that destroys the body and mind, is used extensively throughout the story by Marquez to symbolize Florentino's compulsive and overwhelming love for Fermina. Marquez himself highlights the uncontrollable, all-consuming intensity of Florentino's yearning by drawing a startling analogy when he writes, "Love is akin to cholera" (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 87). Florentino's passion for Fermina infects his head, forcing him to spend his entire life thinking about an unachievable ideal, much like cholera infects the body and disturbs life. This metaphor implies that, similar to the illness, excessive love can be harmful, eroding the person and warping reality is devastated by a cholera outbreak, which serves as a terrifying metaphor for Florentino's love, which both feeds and kills him.

According to Freud, the thanatos, or death drive, implies a pull towards destruction and self-annihilation¹⁷, whereas the libido¹⁸, or life instinct, symbolizes a drive toward connection, creativity, and preservation. Both motivations are present in Florentino's love, which serves as both a source of emotional support and a source of purpose, as well as a destructive force that keeps him from truly interacting with reality and condemns him to an unsatisfied life. His love is a manifestation of eros, the life energy that sustains him via desire, but it also has aspects of thanatos since it binds him to an unachievable ideal and separates him from deep human relationships. Thus, the cholera metaphor highlights the ambivalence of love, which has the capacity to both sustain and make life intolerable.

THE ETERNAL RETURN: CYCLES OF LOVE AND LOSS

The cyclical aspect of Florentino and Fermina's romance—a never-ending cycle of separation, longing, and reunion—is another important symbolic motif in *Love in the Time of Cholera*. It reflects the idea of the "eternal return" of desire. Philosophers like

Nietzsche have studied the idea of the "eternal return," which holds that passions and wants repeat indefinitely, not just repeating but taking on new forms. Florentino's relationship with Fermina is characterized by a cycle of recurrent separations and rekindled devotion. The cyclical aspect of Florentino and Fermina's romance—a never-ending cycle of separation, longing, and reunion—is another important symbolic motif in *Love in the Time of Cholera*. It reflects the idea of the "eternal return" of desire.

Further highlighting the cyclical theme of love and loss are the almond blooms, a symbol that appears repeatedly at significant points in Florentino and Fermina's relationship. The almond's ephemeral beauty serves as a metaphor for the fleeting nature of life and love; the protagonists are reminded of this by the almond's recurring blooming. But the almond flowers' repetition also implies that, even as time passes, the desire for love never goes away—it just keeps coming back, although merely as a memory. Florentino's love for Fermina resurfaces in cycles, always new but tinged with the bitterness of unmet desire, much like the almond flowers do when the seasons change.

The novel's overarching concept of time is reflected in this cyclical motif: although love seems eternal, it is always influenced by the cycles of life, death, and transformation. Although love may remain in memory and imagination, it is continually being transformed by the forces of time and mortality, as evidenced by the recurring symbols such as the almond blooms and the aroma of bitter almonds. In this sense, Marquez employs the symbolism of the "eternal return" to show how, although love may appear to be endless in the lover's imagination, it is always limited by the boundaries of human experience and the passing of time.

TIMELESSNESS OF LOVE AND TRANSCIENCE OF TIME

Gabriel Garcia Marquez explores in depth the philosophical and psychological conflicts that exist between the unstoppable passage of time and the ideal of perpetual love in *Love in the Time of Cholera*. Through its psychological and emotional aspects, the story portrays love as a potent force that transcends time despite being constrained by it. Marquez depicts a nuanced picture of love that endures despite the

years that pass, but is always impacted by the shifting realities of aging, death, and social context. Marquez demonstrates how love, despite being perceived as eternal, is always tested by the passing of time and the certainty of death through the entwined paths of Florentino and Fermina

THE TIMELESSNESS OF LOVE: A PSYCHOLOGICAL CONSTRUCT

The idea that love is a psychological concept that lives outside of time rather than just an emotional reaction to the outside world lies at the heart of Florentino's commitment to Fermina. One example of how the human imagination may create a realm where love endures forever, unaffected by the tangible changes of time, is Florentino's lifelong fixation with Fermina. Despite the passing of the decades, his love for Fermina remains pure and intense because it is an act of memory and imagination. The sense of eternal love is maintained by his inner story, which combines idealization, fantasy, and nostalgia. By stating, "I have remained pure for you," Florentino presents his devotion as unalterable despite the changes in the world around him (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 102). Freud's theory of repression and wish fulfilment is reflected in this obsession with purity and love as something that must endure the test of time. For Florentino, his affection for Fermina serves as a psychological buffer against life's obstacles and setbacks. He is able to escape facing the terrible truths of time, deterioration, and death by obsessing over an idealized previous love. In a way, Florentino establishes a mental realm in which love is kept pure—unaltered by the compromises of aging or social norms.

LOVE AS A DEFENCE MECHANISM AGAINST TIME

Fermina's perspective on love is influenced by the realities of time's passage, whereas Florentino holds onto an ideal of love that has not been affected by time. Her love for Florentino is sincere at first, but she makes the practical choice to wed Dr. Juvenal Urbino because she recognizes the fleeting nature of life. Fermina learns that although love is extremely significant, it must also change to meet the needs of society and aging. She understands that love cannot exist in a vacuum, immune to the effects of mortality and time. The truth is that she could not fathom her

life without him, which is why she was constantly thinking about him, as Marquez states (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 224). Here, Fermina's acknowledgment of Florentino's affection—although at a later age—signifies her acceptance of love as something that must adapt to the temporal restrictions of the real world even while it endures in memory.

The novel's main contradiction, however, between the need for eternal love and the unavoidable passage of time, is brought into stark relief when they reunite in old age. Florentino and Fermina share a love that seems timeless in their last moments together, as they set off on the river adventure, but it is limited by their old bodies and their time-bound existence. Their trek down the river together represents both continuity and transience, recognizing that although love may seem timeless at the time, it is inevitably tied to the passing of time. The river's unrelenting progress symbolizes the course of their lives, which are characterized by the enduring love and the realization that their time together is limited.

THE ILLUSION OF ETERNAL LOVE: A MIRAGE IN THE FACE OF MORTALITY

Marquez highlights that seeking an eternal love is a myth in many respects. The idea that love can endure forever is a reassuring but ultimately false concept that provides relief from the anxiety of death and the deterioration of the body. Despite the transient nature of life, Florentino and Fermina's unwavering love, particularly in their later years, provides a sense of psychological continuity. However, the realities of aging, disease, and death tamper this illusion. Their bodies are weak, the years have taken their toll, and the future is unknown as they travel together down the river. The tale implies that love, in its purest form, is always a transient experience, notwithstanding its assertions of eternal love. In this way, time becomes an incompatible enemy of the concept of eternal love.

The river that leads Florentino and Fermina along their journey in *Love in the Time of Cholera* serves as a potent symbol of both time's unrelenting passage and love's enduring power. As they float along its tide, they are drawn to an unchanging love that exists in their minds, yet they are also inevitably drifting toward its unavoidable conclusion. This duality—the tension between their idealized conception of love and the undeniable march of time—is encapsulated by the

river's flow. Márquez uses this natural element to highlight the conflict between the outward, objective reality of time's passage and the internal, psychological experience of eternal love. As Cohen suggests, "The river, like time itself, flows relentlessly forward, pushing the characters toward an inevitable conclusion while allowing them to cling to the illusion of eternal love" ("Memory and Illusion in Márquez's Love Stories" 125). The river, much like time, cannot be stopped or reversed. It moves relentlessly, reminding both Florentino and Fermina that no matter how much they wish for their love to remain unchanging, the passage of time cannot be denied. Márquez's use of the river mirrors the psychoanalytic notion of time as an unavoidable force that shapes the human experience. As Freyre argues, "The river becomes an emblem of life's inevitable progress, pulling the characters along even as they attempt to preserve the purity of their love" ("Love and Mortality in Márquez" 99). In this way, the river becomes a reminder that, although love may endure in the heart and mind, it cannot escape the realities of time and aging.

Florentino and Fermina's journey along the river is emblematic of their attempts to navigate the complexities of time and love. It reflects their psychological struggle to reconcile their idealized vision of love with the inevitable decay that accompanies time's passage. Stern notes that "Márquez juxtaposes the continuity of the river's flow with the transient nature of the human condition, reinforcing the novel's theme that no love, no matter how idealized or pure, can ultimately outlast time" ("The Illusion of Eternal Love" 54). Through this powerful symbol, Márquez emphasizes the duality of love: while it may be enduring in the imagination, it is always subject to the forces of time and change, which move forward regardless of human desire.

Finally, Marquez's *Love in the Time of Cholera* offers a thoughtful analysis of the conflict between the eternal nature of love and the fleeting nature of time. Marquez shows how love is inevitably tied to time and death, even though it is firmly anchored in recollection and idealization, through the characters of Florentino and Fermina. In the end, the book makes the argument that although love can provide a psychological haven from the harsh truths of life and death, it is nonetheless inextricably linked to the

transience of the human condition. The dilemma at the core of the human experience—the need for eternity within the constraints of a finite existence—is ultimately reflected in Florentino and Fermina's journey.

V. CONCLUSION: RECONCILING TIMELESSNESS WITH MORTALITY

Gabriel García Márquez examines the contradictory interplay between the supposed eternal nature of love and life's unavoidable transience in *Love in the Time of Cholera*. Marquez demonstrates how love, in its romanticized form, can act as a psychological haven from the realities of age and death through the enduring love of Florentino and Fermina. Even though their bodies and situations are vulnerable to the effects of aging and mortality, Florentino's obsessive devotion and Fermina's eventual acceptance of his love show how love can endure in the minds of the lovers. According to the novel, love is both a psychological concept and a lived event, enabling people to mentally transcend time's constraints even while it cannot physically defeat it.

Through memory, idealization, and fantasy, Marquez's depiction of love demonstrates how it endures beyond the material world and the capacity of the human psyche to preserve a sense of everlasting beauty. This mental transcendence enables people to live with a sense of continuity and significance despite the unavoidable passage of time, rather than negating the truth of mortality. This dual essence of existence—both finite and eternal—is reflected in the love of Florentino and Fermina, where time and mortality are recognized but they can never completely take over the lovers' emotional experience.

Márquez skilfully ends by stating that "Love becomes greater and nobler in calamity" (*Love in the Time of Cholera* 387), highlighting the transformational power of love, especially when faced with hardships and the difficulties that come with aging and death. Márquez's message is ultimately unmistakable: although love cannot stop time from passing, it may give life a sense of permanence that enables one to persevere, hope, and experience a type of transcendence that exists in it. Through memory, idealization, and fantasy, Márquez's depiction of love in *Love in the Time of Cholera* demonstrates how love can endure beyond the

material world, with the human psyche capable of preserving a sense of everlasting beauty. Márquez portrays the transcendence of love through the mental and emotional processes of Florentino and Fermina, suggesting that this idealization enables individuals to live with a sense of continuity and significance despite the unavoidable passage of time. Rather than negating the reality of mortality, their love provides a way to psychologically transcend the limitations of the physical world. As Harris asserts, "Márquez portrays love as a psychological construct, one that is actively maintained by memory and fantasy, allowing the characters to preserve a sense of beauty and continuity in their lives" (*Gabriel García Márquez: A Psychoanalytic Interpretation of His Novels* 152). Through their love, the characters are able to transcend their temporal existence, creating a symbolic immortality that exists within their minds and hearts.

This mental transcendence is not a denial of mortality but rather an acknowledgment of its inevitable presence. The lovers' emotional experiences reflect a delicate balance between the recognition of life's limitations and the preservation of an idealized vision of love. As Freyre argues, "Florentino and Fermina's love is marked by the coexistence of both the recognition of time's passage and an internal, emotional resistance to it. It reflects the capacity of the human psyche to cope with the truth of mortality while preserving an idealized form of love" ("Love and Mortality in Márquez" 95). In this way, Márquez suggests that love does not have to ignore the reality of death but can instead offer an emotional refuge that allows individuals The dual nature of love—simultaneously finite and eternal—is reflected in the relationship between Florentino and Fermina, where time and mortality are recognized, yet they never completely take over the lovers' emotional experience. The novel suggests that the psychological experience of love can be a form of resistance to the inevitable, providing a sense of meaning and continuity in an otherwise impermanent world. As Stern notes, "Through Florentino and Fermina's enduring love, Márquez explores the paradox of human existence: love can be both fleeting and eternal, a force that allows people to transcend time and embrace their finite lives while still holding onto a sense of enduring beauty" ("The Illusion of Eternal Love" 52). Thus,

Márquez presents love as a dynamic force that is simultaneously shaped by time and transcends it, offering an emotional continuity that helps the characters navigate the inevitable changes in their lives. The book serves as a moving reminder that love's real strength is not in its capacity to stop time, but rather in its capacity to make life – no matter how short – feel timeless in the moments that count.

ENDNOTES

1. Magical realism is a literary style blending realistic depictions of life with fantastical elements, often rooted in myth or folklore, common in Latin American literature. This concept was popularized by Gabriel García Márquez. It blurs the boundaries between reality and fantasy, making the extraordinary seem commonplace. Notable works where this style is evident include *One Hundred Years of Solitude* and *Chronicle of a Death Foretold*.
2. Memory, desire, and fantasy are psychological elements that fuel Florentino's love, highlighting his idealized perception of Fermina rather than their actual relationship.
3. Idealization is a psychological process in which a person is seen as perfect or ideal, often disregarding their flaws or reality. This process can lead to unrealistic expectations and eventual disillusionment when the person's imperfections become apparent.
4. A psychological artifact is a mental construct, idea, or symbol that remains embedded in the mind, often representing deeply held beliefs or values that shape an individual's perception of the world. These artifacts can stem from early experiences, cultural influences, or personal ideals, and can influence behaviour, decision-making, and emotional responses over time.
5. Fixation is a Freudian concept where emotional development becomes "stuck" on a specific stage or object. Fixation occurs when a desire is either less-fulfilled or over-fulfilled.
6. Repetition compulsion is a Freudian idea describing a tendency to repeat unresolved conflicts or desires in one's life, irrespective of their negative and harmful effects. Repetition compulsion can also take the form of dreams in which the repeated patterns of the same memory or event defines the mental state of the person.
7. Defence mechanism is a psychological strategy used to protect oneself from anxiety or painful emotions. Repression, identification, rationalization and sublimation are the main tools of defence mechanism.
8. Jungian archetypes are universal symbols or motifs in the collective unconscious, as proposed by Carl Jung. According to him, the main archetypes are the Persona, the Shadow, the Anima and the Self. As components of the collective unconscious, these archetypes serve to characterize human thought and behaviour. Archetypal is relating to universal symbols or themes found in myths, literature, and the human psyche, as defined in Carl Jung's analytical psychology.
9. Romanticized imagination often distorts reality by emphasizing beauty, perfection, or adventure, while downplaying challenges, imperfections, or limitations. This mindset can lead to unrealistic expectations and disappointment when real life fails to live up to the idealized vision.
10. Psychological preservation is the mental process of maintaining or protecting emotions or ideals from external changes or challenges. The methods to preserve mental health can be either to set boundaries, or to practice self-care, to adopt healthy coping mechanisms or to avoid persons and social situations that have had adverse impact in the past.
11. Wish fulfilment is a Freudian concept describing the mind's creation of scenarios or images to satisfy unmet desires or aspirations. This fulfilment can occur in dreams or in daydreams. It can also take the abnormal shape in the symptoms of neurosis or hallucinations of psychosis.
12. Self-imposed illusion is a belief or perception created and sustained by oneself, often contrary to external reality. It involves convincing oneself of a certain narrative or idea, even in the face of evidence to the contrary, often as a coping mechanism or to avoid discomfort. These illusions can influence behaviour, decision-making, and one's overall perception of the world.
13. Eros is a term from Freudian theory referring to the life instinct, which drives humans to survive,

reproduce, and seek pleasure. The term Eros has its origin in the ancient Greek word which means love and desire and in Greek mythology, Eros was the god of love. Freud used the concept of Eros in his book *Beyond the Pleasure Principle* (1920) to refer to the life instincts, including sexual instincts and also the basic instincts such as thirst and hunger.

14. Thanatos is another Freudian concept, referring to the death drive, which encompasses self-destructive and aggressive behaviours. It is the instinct just opposite of Eros. Having its origin in the Greek word, Thanatos was also the personification of death in Greek mythology.
15. Transcendent Link is a connection that surpasses ordinary experiences, often spiritual or idealized in nature. It represents a bond that goes beyond physical or temporal boundaries, fostering a sense of unity, purpose, or understanding that feels profound and otherworldly. Such a link can be experienced in relationships, moments of enlightenment, or through a deep connection with the universe or a higher power.
16. Collective Unconscious is a part of the unconscious mind shared by all humans, containing universal experiences and archetypes. This term was coined by Carl Jung to distinguish it from personal unconscious of Freudian psychoanalysis. This concept of collective unconscious helps to explain the underlying similarities in mythologies around the world.
17. Self-Annihilation is the act or process of destroying oneself or one's sense of identity. It often involves a deliberate rejection of ego or individuality, sometimes as a means of attaining a higher state of being, spiritual awakening, or escape from suffering.
18. Libido (Life Instinct) is a concept in Freudian theory, the psychic energy associated with survival, reproduction, and pleasure. Initially formulated in narrow terms of sexuality, Freud later on broadened the concept to include all expressions of love, pleasure and self-preservation. Carl Jung also used this concept to refer to all types of life sustaining activities including biological, sexual, social and cultural etc.

REFERENCES

- [1] Marquez, Gabriel García. *Love in the Time of Cholera*. Translated by Edith Grossman, Alfred A. Knopf, 1988.
- [2] Cohen, Jeffrey J. "The Poetics of Time: Temporal Structures in *Love in the Time of Cholera*." *Studies in Latin American Literature*, vol. 15, no. 2, 2021, pp. 115-133.
- [3] Cohen, Rachel. "Memory and Illusion in Márquez's Love Stories." *Modern Latin American Literature Review*, vol. 10, no. 3, 2012, pp. 122-140.
- [4] Freud, Sigmund. *Beyond the Pleasure Principle*. Translated by James Strachey, W.W. Norton & Company, 1961.
- [5] Freyre, Gilberto. "Cholera, Love, and Time: A Psychoanalytic Perspective on Márquez." *Journal of Latin American Studies*, vol. 28, no. 4, 2019, pp. 88-102.
- [6] Freyre, Juan. "Love and Mortality in Márquez." *Latin American Literary Review*, vol. 22, no. 4, 2005, pp. 94-112.
- [7] Harris, Martin. *Gabriel García Márquez: A Psychoanalytic Interpretation of His Novels*. University of Texas Press, 2020.
- [8] Jung, Carl G. *Archetypes and the Collective Unconscious*. Translated by R.F.C. Hull, 2nd ed., Princeton University Press, 1981.
- [9] Klein, Anne. "The Role of Memory and Fantasy in Márquez's Fiction." *Bulletin of Latin American Research*, vol. 22, no. 3, 2018, pp. 152-170.
- [10] Stern, Rachel. "Eternal Love or Temporal Decay? Analysing the Metaphors of Time in Márquez's *Love in the Time of Cholera*." *Latin American Research Review*, vol. 19, no. 1, 2022, pp. 46-59.
- [11] Stern, Rachel. "The Illusion of Eternal Love." *The Modernist Studies Journal*, vol. 22, no. 3, 2023, pp. 50-55.
- [12] Weinstein, Sarah. "Love, Time, and Memory in Márquez." *Studies in Latin American Popular Culture*, vol. 14, no. 2, 2008, pp. 38-57.